The Gospel of Matthew WHY DID THE KING COME BUT NOT THE KINGDOM?

Outline to the book of Matthew:

I. The King Has Come 1:1-13:53

- 1. The Incarnation and the preparation of the King 1:1 4:11
- 2. The Declaration of the Principles of the King 4:12 7:29
- 3. The Manifestation of the King 8:1 11:1
- 4. The Opposition to the King 11:2 13:53

II. The Kingdom Has Not 13:54-28:20

- 5. The Reaction of the King, 13:54 19:2
- 6. The Formal Presentation and Rejection of the King, 19:3 25:46
- 7. The Crucifixion and the Resurrection of the King, 26:1-28:20

***Subpoints follow Stanley Toussaint's seven main divisions outlined in his commentary.

I. THE KING HAS COME 1:1 – 13:53 The Declaration of the Principles of the King 4:12 – 7:29

(Matthew 5:1-7:29)

"The Sermon on the Mount"



- 1. The non-literal, historical, grammatical approaches
- 2. The literal, historical, grammatical approaches
- 3. Alternative literal, historical, grammatical approach

- 1) The soteriological interpretation
 - Notes:
 - In speaking of the SoTM Pope John Paul II said it was "pure gospel"
 - > This view sees the SoTM providing the way of salvation.
 - This sermon is a masterful exposition of the law and a potent assault on Pharisaic legalism, closing with a call to <u>true faith and salvation (7:13-29).</u>

- MacArthur Study Bible

- 1) The soteriological interpretation
 - Conclusions:
 - \succ The Sermon is about the true intent of the Mosaic Law.
 - ➢ No one was EVER saved by the Mosaic Law.
 - > The sermon does not deal with justification.
 - ➢ IF this sermon delt with justification before God then the other NT authors are all heretics.

- 2) The sociological interpretation
 - Notes:
 - Jesus is teaching eternal principles that will impact the world socially.
 - If society implemented these principles, then the world would improve.
 - This view is largely adopted in the SOCIAL justice circles of evangelicalism.

- 2) The sociological interpretation
 - Conclusions:
 - > Mankind is radically depraved.
 - > Mankind is under conscience.
 - Man has the knowledge, but not the ability to do good and not do evil.
 - Even Jews, who have the law, do not have the ability to do it in a way that the perfect righteousness of God is achieved.



- 1. The non-literal, historical, grammatical approaches
- 2. The literal, historical, grammatical approaches
- 3. Alternative literal, historical, grammatical approach